UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

2003 JUN -5 this spheelfob Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO:	XI II C DISTRIC		MAGISTRATE JUDGE	Thomas J. Wl	ielan อร c.เมืองกับ	
FRO	M: E. Lloyd,	Deputy Clerk		CEIVED D <u>ATE:</u>	6/2/08 WY	
CASE NO.: 08cr1196-W DOCUMENT FILED BY: James Francis Murphy						
CASE TITLE: USA v. Murphy						
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Memorandum of Federal Jurisdiction and Points and Authorities						
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:						
$\checkmark \mid L$	ocal Rule	Discrepancy				
	1 Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation					
	5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper					
	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.					
	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely					
	7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document					
X	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions					
X	7.1 Missing table of contents					
	15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself					
	30.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order					
	Supplemental documents require court order					
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest					
	OTHER:					
			•			
	Date forwarded: 6/3/08					
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:						
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.					
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers					
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuan					
	to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: WHELDO					
	/a.1	in 0	A	DO 0		
	Dated: $(\alpha \alpha)$ cc: All Parties	<u> </u>	By:			